# Lambert, Jason

From: Lambert, Jason

**Sent:** Monday, June 06, 2016 1:06 PM

To: Solomon, Gina@EPA

**Subject:** RE: p-CBSA health assessment

### Hi Gina.

Based on my estimations of time spent in internal and external peer review puts us in late July, or early August at the latest, as optimal for an update call. I do apologize for not being able to share much right now; we will be happy to speak in much greater detail in a few weeks.

## Most sincerely,

Jason C. Lambert, PhD, DABT U.S. EPA, ORD, NCEA 26 West Martin Luther King Dr. Cincinnati, OH 45268 (513) 569-7078 ph. (513) 487-2539 fax lambert.jason@epa.gov

From: Solomon, Gina@EPA [mailto:Gina.Solomon@calepa.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:14 PM

To: Lambert, Jason < Lambert. Jason@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: p-CBSA health assessment

#### Hi Jason.

Thanks for the response. I'm very curious about what endpoint you're currently considering for the POD, although I understand that can change. I also understand you can't get into the details of the risk assessment right now, since it's still very much in draft form. When do you estimate might be a good time for a call?

Best wishes

-Gina

From: Lambert, Jason [mailto:Lambert.Jason@epa.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 12:27 PM

To: Solomon, Gina@EPA < Gina. Solomon@calepa.ca.gov >

**Subject:** RE: p-CBSA health assessment

## Good afternoon Gina,

Sorry about the delay in response. I was out yesterday afternoon and am working my way through my email today. We can certainly chat but please know that it is premature to discuss details of the draft assessment. So much can change as a document works its way through our review process that anything I say now may not be true a few months later. Once external peer review is completed I will have a much better handle on what will/will not change in the document. Is it possible to hold off on a call until we get to that point in our process? That is not as far off as it might sound. Please know that we are keeping this chemical at the front of the PPRTV development pile so that it can move as rapidly as possible. Thank you Gina

Jason C. Lambert, PhD, DABT U.S. EPA, ORD, NCEA

26 West Martin Luther King Dr. Cincinnati, OH 45268 (513) 569-7078 ph. (513) 487-2539 fax lambert.jason@epa.gov

From: Solomon, Gina@EPA [mailto:Gina.Solomon@calepa.ca.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 2:01 PM

**To:** Lambert, Jason < <u>Lambert.Jason@epa.gov</u>>; Stralka, Daniel < <u>Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov</u>>; Chavira, Raymond < Chavira.Raymond@epa.gov>

**Cc:** Lim, Lori@OEHHA < <a href="mailto:Lim@oehha.ca.gov">Lori.Lim@oehha.ca.gov">Lim, Lori@OEHHA < <a href="mailto:Melanie@OEHHA">Melanie@OEHHA < <a href="mailto:Melanie.Marty@oehha.ca.gov">Melanie@OEHHA < <a href="mailto:Melanie.Marty@oehha.ca.gov">Melanie@OEHHA < <a href="mailto:Melanie.Marty@oehha.ca.gov">Melanie@OEHHA < <a href="mailto:Melanie.Marty@oehha.ca.gov">Melanie.Marty@oehha.ca.gov</a>; Zeise,

Lauren@OEHHA < Lauren. Zeise@oehha.ca.gov >; Ting, David@OEHHA < David. Ting@oehha.ca.gov >

Subject: RE: p-CBSA health assessment

### Hi Jason.

Thanks for the update! I'm impressed at how expeditiously this has moved along!

Would it be possible to schedule a brief call to check in on what endpoint(s) you selected in the end, and the general approach you took to the data?

It would be helpful to us as we consider how to proceed on this chemical. I understand that there are limits to what you can share, but anything you can explain to us about your thinking on this chemical would be very helpful.

I'd be happy to help coordinate folks at this end for a call.

Thanks!
-Gina

From: Lambert, Jason [mailto:Lambert.Jason@epa.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2016 6:11 AM

To: Solomon, Gina@EPA; Stralka, Daniel; Chavira, Raymond

**Subject:** p-CBSA health assessment

# Good morning to you all,

I wasn't sure exactly who all needed to be on this update so I figured as long as you all knew we were good to go. We received the draft p-CBSA Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Value (PPRTV) assessment yesterday from our contracting authors. I have since entered this document into our formal review process which entails: (1)critical initial review by the chemical manager (me); (2) internal review by EPA/NCEA staff toxicologists; (3) external review by three independent (non-EPA) experts; and (4) final clearance review within NCEA. In a perfect world this entire process would/should take approximately 4-5 months for a document with such a thin database. If there are any delays as this document moves through the process I will let you all know. We are off to a good start as I have just completed step 1 as of this morning.

Have a good day

Jason C. Lambert, PhD, DABT U.S. EPA, ORD, NCEA 26 West Martin Luther King Dr. Cincinnati, OH 45268 (513) 569-7078 ph. (513) 487-2539 fax lambert.jason@epa.gov